Keith Harris, Esq. (KH 4604) Braff, Harris, Sukoneck & Maloof 570 Mt. Pleasant Ave., Suite 200 Livingston, NJ 07039-0657 (973) 994-6677 kharris@bhsm-law.com

John P. Fuller, Esquire, pro hac vice pending Fuller, Fuller & Associates, P.A. 12000 Biscayne Blvd., Suite 502
North Miami, FL 33181
(305) 891-5199
ipf@fullerfuller.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY CAMDEN DIVISION

Plaintiff,

vs.

Case No. 3:17-cv-1881-BRM-TJB

MANAHAWKIN 2015, LLC, a New Jersey Limited Liability Company, MCDONALD'S CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation, and HCC CORPORATION, a New Jersey Corporation,

Defendants.

#### AMENDED COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, DENNIS MAURER, Individually, on his behalf and on behalf of all other mobility impaired individuals similarly situated, (sometimes referred to as "Plaintiff"), hereby sues the Defendants, MANAHAWKIN 2015, LLC, a New Jersey Limited Liability Company, MCDONALD'S CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation, and HCC CORPORATION, a New

Jersey Corporation, (sometimes referred to as "Defendants"), for Injunctive Relief, damages, attorney's fees, litigation expenses, and costs pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12181 et seq. ("ADA") and the New Jersey Law Against Discrimination (N.J.S.A.).

- 1. Plaintiff, Dennis Maurer, is an individual residing at 8 Prospect Ave, Egg Harbor Township, NJ 08234, in the County of Atlantic.
- Defendant, MANAHAWKIN 2015, LLC, is a New Jersey Limited Liability Company, which holds title to the subject property alleged by Plaintiff to be operating in violation of Title III of the ADA.
- 3. Defendant, MCDONALD'S CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation, holds title to the subject property alleged by the Plaintiff to be operating in violation of Title III of the ADA.
- 4. Defendant, HCC CORPORATION, a New Jersey Corporation, is the operator of the subject property alleged by the Plaintiff to be operating in violation of Title III of the ADA.
- Defendants' property, McDonald's #8131, is located at 757 Route 72 West, Manahawkin,
   NJ 08050, in the County of Ocean.
- 6. Venue is properly located in the District of New Jersey because venue lies in the judicial district of the property situs. The Defendants' property is located in and does business within this judicial district.
- 7. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 28 U.S.C. § 1343, this Court has been given original jurisdiction over actions which arise from the Defendants' violations of Title III of the

- Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12181 et seq. See also 28 U.S.C. § 2201 and § 2202.
- 8. Plaintiff Dennis Maurer is a New Jersey resident, is sui juris, and qualifies as an individual with disabilities as defined by the ADA. Mr. Maurer has multiple sclerosis and is mobility impaired, and uses a wheelchair for mobility. Dennis Maurer has visited the property, which forms the basis for this lawsuit, and plans to return to the property in the near future, to avail himself of the goods and services offered to the public at the property. The Plaintiff has encountered architectural barriers at the subject property. The ADA violations and barriers to access at the McDonald's #8131 are more specifically set forth in this Amended Complaint. The barriers to access at the property have endangered his safety.
- 9. Defendants own, lease, lease to, or operate a place of public accommodation as defined by the ADA and the regulations implementing the ADA, 28 CFR 36.201(a) and 36.104. Defendants are responsible for complying with the obligations of the ADA. The place of public accommodation that the Defendants own, operate, lease or lease to is known as McDonald's #8131, and is located at 757 Route 72 West, Manahawkin, NJ 08050.
- 10. Dennis Maurer has a realistic, credible, existing and continuing threat of discrimination from the Defendants' non-compliance with the ADA with respect to this property as described but not necessarily limited to the allegations in paragraph 12 of this complaint. Plaintiff has reasonable grounds to believe that he will continue to be subjected to discrimination in violation of the ADA by the Defendants. Dennis Maurer desires to visit the subject property not only to avail himself of the goods and services available at

- the property but to assure himself that this property is in compliance with the ADA so that he and others similarly situated will have full and equal enjoyment of the property without fear of discrimination.
- 11. The Defendants have discriminated against the individual Plaintiff by denying him access to, and full and equal enjoyment of, the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations of the buildings, as prohibited by 42 U.S.C. § 12182 et seq.
- 12. The Defendants have discriminated, and are continuing to discriminate, against the Plaintiff in violation of the ADA by failing to, inter alia, have accessible facilities by January 26, 1992 (or January 26, 1993, if Defendant has 10 or fewer employees and gross receipts of \$500,000 or less). A preliminary inspection of the McDonald's #8131 has shown that violations exist. These violations that Dennis Maurer personally encountered or observed include, but are not limited to:

## Parking and Exterior Accessible Route

- A. Parking spaces throughout McDonald's are not maintained; lack adequate access aisles, violating Sections 502 and 502.4 of the 2010 Accessibility Standards. These conditions during numerous visits prevented Mr. Maurer from unloading from his van freely and safely. On certain occasions he would park away from the accessible parking at McDonald's so he could access the restaurant safely.
- B. Curb ramps provided at McDonald's are unsafe for wheelchair users and are not provided in some areas. The curb ramps contain excessive slopes, abrupt changes of level and lack level landings, violating Sections 402 and 406 of the 2010 Accessibility Standards. Mr. Maurer dealt with a lack of maneuvering space at the top of the curb ramp. These conditions are unsafe for Mr. Maurer when he accesses the curb ramps.
- C. The exterior accessible route from parking spaces at McDonald's fails to provide a safe accessible route to ramps or curb ramps, violating Section 402 of the 2010 Accessibility Standards. Mr. Maurer was forced to travel in the traffic area of the center to get to the curb ramp.

D. McDonald's fails to provide a safe accessible route to the adjacent street or sidewalk, violating Section 206.2.1 of the 2010 Accessibility Standards. The lack of a safe accessible route prevents the option of public transportation for Mr. Maurer.

#### Access to Goods and Services

- E. McDonald's fails to provide accessible dining tables for those in wheelchairs, violating Section 902 of the 2010 Accessibility Standards. Mr. Maurer was unable to dine comfortably due to a lack of accessible tables.
- F. Entering McDonald's is impeded by a lack of latch side clearance, violating Sections 402 and 404 of the 2010 Accessibility Standards. Mr. Maurer was unable to enter McDonald's without assistance due to a lack of maneuvering space.

#### Restrooms

- G. Restrooms at McDonald's were reported to be unsafe for use by the plaintiff. Inspection revealed Mr. Maurer was unable to use the restrooms safely due to a lack of accessibility. Including, inaccessible water closets which lack proper controls, signage and wheelchair maneuvering space, violating Section 601 of the 2010 Accessibility Standards.
- H. Restrooms provide dispensers beyond the reach of wheelchair users and are inaccessible to the plaintiff, violating Section 308 of the 2010 Accessibility Standards.
- I. Lavatories lack knee clearance and accessibility preventing the plaintiff from freely accessing the lavatory, violating Section 606 the 2010 Accessibility Standards.
- J. McDonald's provides restrooms that contain improper centerlines for the water closets and flush controls mounted on the wall side, violating Section 604 of the 2010 Accessibility Standards. Mr. Maurer was unable to access flush controls while in the restrooms due to improper location.
- K. The use of restroom doors McDonald's is impeded by a lack of maneuvering clearance, violating Section 404 of the 2010 Accessibility Standards. Mr. Maurer was unable to enter McDonald's restroom without assistance due to a lack of maneuvering space.

- 13. All of the foregoing violations are also violations of the 1991 Americans with Disabilities Act Accessibility Guidelines (ADAAG), and the 2010 Standards for Accessible Design, as promulgated by the U.S. Department of Justice.
- 14. The discriminatory violations described in paragraph 12 are not an exclusive list of the Defendants' ADA violations. Plaintiff requires the inspection of the Defendants' place of public accommodation in order to photograph and measure all of the discriminatory acts violating the ADA and all of the barriers to access. The individual Plaintiff, and all other individuals similarly situated, have been denied access to, and have been denied the benefits of services, programs and activities of the Defendants' buildings and its facilities, and have otherwise been discriminated against and damaged by the Defendants because of the Defendants' ADA violations, as set forth above. The individual Plaintiff, and all others similarly situated, will continue to suffer such discrimination, injury and damage without the immediate relief provided by the ADA as requested herein. In order to remedy this discriminatory situation, the Plaintiff requires an inspection of the Defendants' place of public accommodation in order to determine all of the areas of non-compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act.
- 15. Defendants have discriminated against the individual Plaintiff by denying him access to full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations of its place of public accommodation or commercial facility in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 12181 et seq. and 28 CFR 36.302 et seq. Furthermore, the Defendants continue to discriminate against the Plaintiff, and all those similarly situated by failing to

make reasonable modifications in policies, practices or procedures, when such modifications are necessary to afford all offered goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages or accommodations to individuals with disabilities; and by failing to take such efforts that may be necessary to ensure that no individual with a disability is excluded, denied services, segregated or otherwise treated differently than other individuals because of the absence of auxiliary aids and services.

- 16. Plaintiff is without adequate remedy at law and is suffering irreparable harm. Considering the balance of hardships between the Plaintiff and Defendants, a remedy in equity is warranted. Furthermore, the public interest would not be disserved by a permanent injunction. Plaintiff has retained the undersigned counsel and is entitled to recover attorney's fees, costs and litigation expenses from the Defendants pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12205 and 28 CFR 36.505.
- 17. Defendants are required to remove the existing architectural barriers to the physically disabled when such removal is readily achievable for its place of public accommodation that have existed prior to January 26, 1992, 28 CFR 36.304(a); in the alternative, if there has been an alteration to Defendants' place of public accommodation since January 26, 1992, then the Defendants are required to ensure to the maximum extent feasible, that the altered portions of the facility are readily accessible to and useable by individuals with disabilities, including individuals who use wheelchairs, 28 CFR 36.402; and finally, if the Defendants' facility is one which was designed and constructed for first occupancy subsequent to January 26, 1993, as defined in 28 CFR 36.401, then the Defendants'

- facility must be readily accessible to and useable by individuals with disabilities as defined by the ADA.
- 18. Notice to Defendants is not required as a result of the Defendants' failure to cure the violations by January 26, 1992 (or January 26, 1993, if Defendant has 10 or fewer employees and gross receipts of \$500,000 or less). All other conditions precedent have been met by Plaintiff or waived by the Defendants.
- 19. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12188, this Court is provided with authority to grant Plaintiff
  Injunctive Relief, including an order to require the Defendants to alter the McDonald's
  #8131 to make its facilities readily accessible and useable to the Plaintiff and all other
  persons with disabilities as defined by the ADA; or by closing the facility until such time
  as the Defendants cure its violations of the ADA.

### WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests:

- a. The Court issue a Declaratory Judgment that determines that the Defendants at the commencement of the subject lawsuit is in violation of Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12181 et seq.
- b. Injunctive relief against the Defendants including an order to make all readily achievable alterations to the facility; or to make such facility readily accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities to the extent required by the ADA; and to require the Defendants to make reasonable modifications in policies, practices or procedures, when such modifications are necessary to afford all offered goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages or accommodations to individuals with disabilities; and by failing to take such steps that may be necessary to ensure that

- no individual with a disability is excluded, denied services, segregated or otherwise treated differently than other individuals because of the absence of auxiliary aids and services.
- c. An award of attorney's fees, costs and litigation expenses pursuant to 42 U.S.C.
   § 12205.
- d. Such other relief as the Court deems just and proper, and/or is allowable under

  Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act.

# <u>COUNT II</u> <u>VIOLATION OF NEW JERSEY LAW AGAINST DISCRIMINATION</u>

- 20. Plaintiff realleges all prior obligations as if fully set forth herein. Plaintiff repeats the allegations contained in all of the proceeding paragraphs.
- 21. Defendants' facility is a place of public accommodation as defined by N.J.S.A. 10:5-5, (New Jersey Law Against Discrimination).
- 22. New Jersey law provides that all persons shall have the opportunity to obtain all the accommodations, advantages, facilities and privileges of any place of public accommodation without discrimination on the basis of disability. This opportunity is recognized and declared to be a civil right. (See, N.J.S.A. 10:5-4.)
- 23. As a result of the aforementioned discrimination, Plaintiff Dennis Maurer has sustained emotional distress, mental anguish and suffering and humiliation, and other injuries, in violation of the New Jersey Law Against Discrimination.

WHEREFORE, Dennis Maurer demands judgment for damages, attorneys' fees, litigation expenses, including expert fees and costs pursuant to the New Jersey Law Against Discrimination.

Respectfully Submitted,

Keith Harris, Esq. (KH 4604)
Braff, Harris, Sukoneck & Maloof
570 Mt. Pleasant Ave., Suite 200
Livingston, NJ 07039-0657
(973) 994-6677
(973) 994-1296 - Facsimile
kharris@bhsm-law.com

John P. Fuller, Esquire, pro hac vice pending Fuller, Fuller & Associates, P.A. 12000 Biscayne Blvd., Suite 502 North Miami, FL 33181 (305) 891-5199 (305) 893-9505 - Facsimile

jpf@fullerfuller.com

Keith Harris Esa (KH 4604)

Date: June 13-2017

Counsel for Plaintiff Dennis Maurer

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 13 day of June 2017, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court via the Court's CM/ECF System. I further delivered the forgoing by U.S. Mail to: Defendant MANAHAWKIN 2015, LLC, c/o Mark S. Rosen, Registered Agent, c/o The Hampshire Real Estate Companies, 22 Maple Ave., Morristown, NJ 07960. I further delivered a copy on Defendant McDonald's Corporation, by way of Waiver of Service, c/o I. Michael Kessel, Esq., Mkessel@littler.com, Littler Mendelson, P.C., 1085 Raymond Blvd., 8th Floor, Newark, NJ 07102, and on Defendant HCC Corporation, by way of service of process.

Respectfully submitted,

Keith Harris, Esc. (KH 4604)